

SECTION 1: CIA SUMMARY
Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed: Refresh of the Private Sector Housing Strategy 2016 and 2021 and Action Plan

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria? To provide a five year Private Sector Housing Strategy focusing on the issues which cause the most impact on the health of the occupant and that private sector rented housing in York continues to be maintained and managed to a high standard

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment: Ruth Abbott Housing Standards and Adaptations Manager

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)

Yes

Community of Identity affected:
Age, Disability,

Summary of impact:

5. Date CIA completed: 13th April 2016

6. Signed off by: Steve Waddington

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name:

Position:

Date:

8. Decision-making body:

Executive

Date:

30th June 2016

Decision Details:

Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:
New Private Sector Housing Strategy 2016 -2021 and Action Plan

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Data from the Business Intelligence Hub Joint strategic Needs Assessment Private Sector Stock Condition Survey 2008 BRE research 2015 Stock Condition and Health Impact Assessment Marmott Review – The Health Impacts of Cold Homes and Fuel Poverty Government Statistical Data Sets	Longevity; Physical Security; Health; Standard of Living;	Positive	None

Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p>Positive</p> <p>Two main hazards were identified in the health impact assessment as a result of poor housing conditions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Excess Cold and b) Falls (all types) <p>In addition poorer housing conditions were found in the Private Rented Sector</p> <p>Excess Cold</p> <p>Although there are some excess winter deaths in all age groups, it becomes significant for those in the 45+ age group. The risk increases with age in a roughly linear pattern up to the 85+ age group, after which there is a marked increased risk.</p> <p>Children living in cold homes are more than twice as likely to suffer from a variety of respiratory problems as children living in</p>	Yes		Housing Standard And Adaptations Manager	March 2016

warm homes. Mental health is negatively affected by fuel poverty and cold housing for any age group. More than 1 in 4 adolescents living in cold housing are at risk of multiple mental health problems compared to 1 in 20 adolescents who have always lived in warm housing.

Falls

Although there are Falls in all age groups, it becomes significant for those in the 60+ age group.

Private Rented Sector

Evidence shows that significant proportion of our student population, young professionals and people under the age of 35 and in receipt of benefits live in HMOs. A survey carried out in 2014 found that many landlords own less than 5 properties and that ignorance of the law rather than blatant flouting of the law led to poorer housing conditions in this sector. By ensuring that their knowledge about housing conditions, management and the law it will improve the sector

--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Data from the Business Intelligence Hub Joint strategic Needs Assessment Private Sector Stock Condition Survey 2008 BRE research 2015 Stock Condition and Health Impact Assessment Marmott Review – The Health Impacts of Cold Homes and Fuel Poverty		Longevity; Physical Security; Health; Standard of Living;	Positive	

Government Statistical Data Sets				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
<p>Positive</p> <p>People with medical conditions are exacerbated and /or complicated by exposure to cold. winter associations include diabetes complications, certain types of ulcer exacerbations, osteoarthritis knee pain severity and hip fracture Chronic conditions may also lower body metabolism which means the body generates less heat, while stroke, Parkinson's disease and dementia restrict activity, slowing body heat generation and conservation. Cold housing may also delay recovery following discharge from hospital. A Warm Front health impact evaluation by reported that households which received home energy improvements under the scheme from five urban areas. Almost all reported improved and more</p>	Yes		Housing Standards and Adaptations Manager	13 th April 2016

<p>controllable warmth. Two thirds of participants reported improved comfort, while those with limited mobility all acknowledged the warmer home environment as beneficial. 20% reported less minor illness during the winter. The Warm Front health impact evaluation also found improvements to mental health and emotional security. 24.5% reported feeling more relaxed and content, 55.1% reported feeling better, and 26.5% reported better mood and temperature</p>				
--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Gender

Community of Identity: Gender				
Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief				
--	--	--	--	--

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation				
--	--	--	--	--

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date